Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/824,177	CASTRO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Qing-Yuan Wu	2194	

All Participants:

(1) *Qing-Yuan Wu*.

(2) *Bryan Giles*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *2 October 2008*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:
21, 30 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:
US Patent 5,822,585

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner suggested incorporating various limitations from existing claims and the specification to further clarify applicant's claimed invention.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)